# Hungary

# Merger control issues

#### 11.166

Hungary's EU accession and the previous law approximation have resulted in significant changes in Hungarian competition law. As regards merger control issues, the most important impact of the accession is that concentrations subject to notification under Council Regulation No. 139/2004/EC ('Merger Control Regulation') and simultaneously reaching the thresholds stipulated in the Hungarian Act LVII of 1996 on the prohibition of unfair and restrictive market practices ('Competition Act') do not need to be notified to the Hungarian Competition Authority (in Hungarian: Gazdasági Versenyhivatal; 'HCA'). Therefore, the Competition Act shall only be applied to concentrations, which fall out of the scope of the Merger Control Regulation.

## Concentrations

## Concentrations subject to authorisation

#### 11.167

According to section 23(1) of the Competition Act, a concentration between undertakings arises if:

- (a) two or more previously independent undertakings merge or an undertaking is integrated with another or a part of an undertaking becomes part of another undertaking which is independent of the first one;
- (b) an undertaking or more undertakings jointly acquire direct or indirect control over the whole or parts of one or more previously independent undertaking(s)<sup>1</sup>;
- (c) more than one undertaking, which are independent of each other, jointly create an undertaking controlled by them, which is permanently able to perform all the functions of an independent undertaking (full function joint venture).

## #FootnoteB

1. Part of an undertaking means assets or rights, including the clientele of an undertaking, the acquisition of which, solely or together with the assets and rights which are at the disposal of the acquiring undertaking, is sufficient for enabling market activities to be pursued.

#FootnoteE

# Concentrations not subject to authorisation

#### 11.168

Temporary acquisition of control or ownership for a period of one year at the maximum by insurance companies, credit institutions, financial holding companies, holding companies with mixed activities, investment companies or property managing organisations for the purpose of preparing resale shall not qualify as concentrations, provided that they do not exercise their control rights or exercise them only to an extent which is indispensable to the attainment of these objectives. The HCA may extend such one-year period upon request if the undertaking concerned proves that it was not possible to carry out the disposal within one year.

## Establishment of control

### 11.169

As section 23(2) of the Competition Act provides, direct control may be established if an undertaking or more undertakings jointly:

- (a) hold more than 50% of the voting rights in another undertaking based on a shareholding interest, or hold more than 50% of the voting rights on a contractual basis, or
- (b) are entitled to elect, designate or revoke the majority of another undertaking's executives, or

- (c) based on an agreement, are entitled to influence significantly another undertaking's decision-making, or
- (d) as a matter of fact, are able to influence significantly another undertaking's decision-making (de facto control).

Indirect control refers to the situation where an undertaking ('A') controls another undertaking ('B') through a third undertaking ('C') that is controlled, either directly or indirectly, by the first undertaking, 'A'.

## Thresholds

#### 11.170

The Hungarian merger control system is based on obligatory preliminary authorisation; therefore, a concentration must be notified to the HCA provided that the following conditions are met:

- (a) the aggregate net turnover of:
  - (i) all the groups of undertakings concerned; and
  - the undertakings jointly controlled by members of the groups of undertakings concerned and other undertakings
  - exceeded HUF 15 billion (approximately EUR 58 million, based on a 260 EUR/HUF exchange rate) in the business year prior to the date of the concentration; and
- (b) the net turnover of each of at least two of the groups of undertakings concerned, together with the net turnover of the undertakings jointly controlled by undertakings members of the respective group of undertakings and other undertakings, exceeded HUF 500 million (approximately EUR 1,9 million, based on a 260 EUR/HUF exchange rate) in the business year prior to the date of the concentration.

It should be noted that in assessing whether the above HUF 500 million threshold is met, concentrations – not subject to authorisation – that took place within a two-year period preceding the concentration concerned between (a) the group of undertakings acquiring control and (b) undertakings of the group of undertakings, the controlling powers of which cease as a conclusion of the concentration, shall also be taken into account.

In the course of the calculation of the turnover thresholds, the intra-group company turnover must be ignored. Moreover, in the case of a foreign undertaking, only the turnover generated in Hungary must be taken into account. (For undertakings resident in Hungary, their worldwide turnover shall be taken into account.) The net turnover of undertakings jointly controlled by two or more undertakings shall be apportioned equally to each undertaking having control over them. For the purpose of such apportioning, controlling undertakings which belong to the same group of undertakings shall be deemed to be one single undertaking. For parts of undertakings, the net turnover realised in the preceding year by the use of the assets and rights by the undertaking which sold them shall be taken into account.

In case of insurance companies, investment service providers and funds, credit institutions and financial enterprises, the Competition Act stipulates special rules for the replacement of the net turnover, eg for insurance companies, the gross insurance premiums shall be taken into account instead of the net turnover.

# The rules of the Competition Act on groups of undertakings concerned

#### 11.171

According to section 26(5) of the Competition Act, a group of undertakings concerned consists of the direct participants and the indirect participants.

Direct participants are the acquirer undertaking and the target undertaking.

As section 26(3) of the Competition Act provides, indirect participants are the other members of the group of undertakings, to which the direct participants belong. An undertaking belongs to the same group of undertakings which:

- (a) are under the direct or indirect control of it, or
- (b) have direct or indirect control over it, or
- (c) are under the direct or indirect control of the undertakings referred to in point (b) above, or

(d) are under the joint control of two or more of the undertakings referred to in points (a)-(c) above.

For the meaning of direct and indirect control, please see 11.170 above.

It is also worth mentioning that merger control focuses on the status that comes into existence as a result of the concentration. Therefore, in establishing the indirect participants, the undertakings whose right to control will cease as a result of the concentration, must be left out.

## Notification

#### 11.172

If the above thresholds are met, the authorisation of the HCA is required. The notification is statutory and shall be made prior to the merger. The Competition Act has extra-territorial effect, the jurisdiction of the HCA is based on the Hungarian sales of the undertakings, ie Hungarian merger control procedure may even take place, if all the participants are foreign undertakings but their Hungarian sales exceed the thresholds mentioned above.

## Responsibility for notification

## 11.173

In the event of merger or integration, the merger control notification shall be filed by the direct participants, while in any other cases, it shall be filed by the party acquiring the part of undertaking or the direct control.

## Timing of notification

## 11.174

The merger control notification must be filed within 30 days of the date of the publication of the takeover bid, the conclusion of the contract for the acquisition or the acquisition of the controlling rights, whichever is the earliest. In contractual relationships, this is typically handled in the manner that the contract is signed but the HCA approval is treated as a condition precedent to the closing of the transaction. The notification shall be submitted by filing the standard fill-in-form published by the HCA together with all necessary attachments [sections 28(2), 68(2) of the Competition Act]. The form is available at the website of the HCA, www.gvh.hu. In the form, detailed information is required, inter alia, on the participants, on other interested undertakings (eg suppliers) and on the relevant product(s) and the geographical market.

## Procedural issues

# Pre-merger consultation

## 11.175

The HCA may be consulted on how to answer the questions of the form (the form requires rather extensive and detailed information). Such pre-notification consultation may help to resolve interpretation problems and to identify the relevant information, but this informal consultation is not part of the official proceedings.

## Simplified and full procedure

## 11.176

According to section 63(3)(a) of the Competition Act, the concentration must be approved within 45 days if:

- (a) the transaction does not constitute a concentration; or
- (b) based on the net turnover figures of the participants, the thresholds mentioned above are not met; or
- (c) granting authorisation for the concentration may clearly not be refused (simplified procedure). The deadline may be extended by another 20 days.

Otherwise, the decision must be granted within 120 days (full procedure), whereas the deadline may be extended by another 60 days. If the HCA requires additional information or documents, the time period whilst these are produced must be added to the normal time period of the procedure.

As a general rule, the competition council (in Hungarian: versenytanács) shall pass its decision without holding a hearing. However, each party may request passing the decision with holding a hearing [section 74(1) of the Competition Act].

## Filing fees

#### 11.177

The fee of a merger control procedure is HUF 2 million (approximately EUR 7,700, based on a 260 EUR/HUF exchange rate) in case of a simplified procedure and HUF 10 million (approximately EUR 38,500, based on a 260 EUR/HUF exchange rate) if the approval is granted after a full procedure [Section 62 of the Competition Act]. The fee of the simplified procedure shall be paid at the time of filing the notification, and, if the case is decided in a full procedure, the additional fee shall be paid following the receipt of the decision of the HCA.

## Sanctions

#### 11.178

According to section 79 of the Competition Act, if the responsible participant fails to notify the concentration to the HCA, the HCA may impose a fine of HUF 50.000 (app. EUR 190) per day.

## Judicial review

#### 11.179

The decision of the HCA may be reviewed by the court. The statement of claim shall be submitted within 30 days of the conveyance of the HCA's decision.

# Substantive issues

## Dominant position

#### 11.180

According to the Competition Act, the HCA shall not deny granting the approval if the concentration does not create or strengthen such dominant position on the relevant market, which impedes the creation, maintenance or development of effective competition on the relevant market or on a substantial part thereof. In the course of the procedure, the HCA will have to evaluate the advantages and disadvantages connected to the concentration. The HCA will examine, among others:

- (a) the structure of the relevant market; existing or potential competition on the relevant market; procurement and marketing possibilities; the conditions and the costs of entry into the market and the exit from the market and the technical, economic and legal conditions thereof; the impact of the concentration on the competition on the market;
- (b) the market position and strategy, economic and financial capacity, business conduct, internal and external competitiveness of the participants; and
- (c) the impact of the concentration on the suppliers and intermediate and final consumers.

Based on the practice and the guidelines of the HCA, as a rule of thumb it may be stated that in the case of a 40% market share, dominant position is presumed. If the market share is in the range of 30-40%, the HCA will carefully scrutinize the markets in more depth than in the case of a market share between 20% and 30%, when the review will not be so detailed [eg 116/1999 Competition Board Decision]. Finally, under 20%, the HCA's practice tends to give an automatic green light to the concentration [eg 151/2002 Competition Board Decision].

However, even if the aggregate market share is relatively high (eg around 50%), this fact in itself will not bar the concentration from being approved. There are cases in the practice of the HCA where concentrations with an aggregate market share above 50% per cent have been approved [eg 131/1997 Competition Board Decision]. However, according to the practice of the HCA, the market shares are only of secondary importance in the case of bidders' markets. It is also important to stress that in the last years' practice of the HCA, the approval to concentrations was only rejected in very few cases.

# Simplified procedure

#### 11.181

As mentioned in **11.176** above, if certain conditions are met, the concentration may be approved in a simplified procedure, within 45 days from the filing of the application. The considerations in differentiating between concentrations subject to authorisation in simplified or full procedure are laid down in Notice No. 1/2003 of the president of the HCA and the chairman of the competition council of the HCA. The notice is not binding, its function is to state how the HCA will apply the legal provisions and to explain the factors and considerations used by the HCA when deciding whether to assess an application under simplified or full procedure in respect of concentrations subject to authorization (ie **11.176**(c) above).

According to the above notice, those concentrations may be authorised in a simplified procedure, which clearly do not create or strengthen a dominant position, therefore, the HCA applies the simplified procedure to the following types of concentrations:

- (a) The concentration has no horizontal, vertical or portfolio effects, ie:
  - there is no relevant market on which at least two participating groups of undertakings are active;
  - (ii) there is no relevant market on which any two participating groups of undertakings are/may be in a supplier buyer relationship; and
  - (iii) the participating groups of undertakings are in no way (production, distribution, etc.) involved in any activity on the markets of complementary products.

Even if the above conditions are satisfied, the concentration may be assessed in a full procedure, if, as a result of conglomerate effects, the group of undertakings with previously weaker financial status: (a) would substantially reinforce its financial status, (b) would increase its market share to over 30 % on any of the relevant markets, and (c) taking into account the features of the market, there is a reasonable danger that restrictive strategies (eg predatory pricing) might be applied relying on the improved positions.

- (b) The concentration has horizontal and/or vertical and/or portfolio effects; but:
  - (i) there is no relevant market on which the combined market share of the participating groups of undertakings exceeds 20%;
  - (ii) there is no relevant market connecting any two participating groups of undertakings on which the market share of either the supplier-group or the buyer-group exceeds 25%; and
  - (iii) there is no relevant market affected by portfolio effects on which the market share of any participating groups of undertakings exceeds 25%.
- (c) If the combined market share of the participating groups of undertakings exceeds the 20% threshold mentioned in point (b)(i) above on the relevant market, but the increase of the concentration resulting from the transaction on this relevant market is insignificant, ie:
  - (i) without having regard to the group of undertakings concerned, the market share of which is higher than that of any other group of undertakings concerned on this relevant market, the combined market share of the other groups of undertakings concerned does not exceed 5% on this relevant market; and
  - (ii) on this relevant market, there is a competitor with a market share which is similar to that of the largest participating group of undertakings; and
  - (iii) the concentration may not substantially reduce potential competition as it can be reasonably expected that the shares of undertakings currently having low market shares would not increase to an appreciable extent in the future.

As the market share of the participating groups of undertaking is a significant issue for the assessment of the above criteria, the definition of the market share, based on unambiguous, objective and verifiable information, is a precondition for the simplified procedure.

# Special rules

#### 11.182

Besides the merger control rules described above, there are several other legal rules which may affect the execution of a merger. Without aiming at completeness, the following issues may have importance in connection with a merger:

- (a) The Capital Market Act and the Business Associations Act stipulate certain notification and disclosure obligations in connection with takeovers.
- (b) There are prior approval and notification requirements relating to the acquisition of interest in investment enterprises, financial institutions and insurance companies, as well as in authorised operators in the energy sector.
- (c) If the merger qualifies as employer's legal succession, the rules of the Hungarian Labour Code pertaining to consultation obligation, liability, etc. of the employer shall also be applied.
- (d) The Radio and Television Broadcasting Act, in order to secure impartial information, contains several restrictions, eg it (i) restricts foreigners' acquisition of ownership in national broadcasters, (ii) excludes the sole ownership in case of national televisions, (iii) restricts the cross ownership of the electronic and printed press.
- (e) Under the Privatisation Act, the state holds a golden share in more than 30 privatised companies, which provides veto right to the state in several strategic decisions.